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OUTLINE

• Why do we need Thermal Conductivity data?
• Basic principles and equipment
• Basic analysis methods
• Parametric analysis methods
• How well does it work?
ESSENTIAL DESIGN INFORMATION

- Building Loads – monthly and peaks
- Ground initial temperature
- Borehole thermal resistance – diameter, grout properties, flow rate and pipe size/spacing
- Ground thermal conductivity (effective)
WHY DOES CONDUCTIVITY MATTER?

Thermal conductivity has a very direct effect on peak temperature and hence borehole field size (cost).
THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY DATA

\[ k_{\text{average}} = 1.351 \text{ Btu/hr-ft-\textdegree F} \]

(2.337 W/m-K)
WHY TEST?

• You can’t design without conductivity data
• Reference and desk-top study data only has broad ranges of values
• Careful testing should give values +/- 10%
• Risk management – cost vs risk
  – Estimate too low and cost may be excessive
  – Estimate too high and system will be at risk of failure
• Big sites may justify more than one test
THE THERMAL RESPONSE TEST CONCEPT

- Drill a test borehole and complete with U-tube of expected size.
- Flush and fill with fluid
- Leave the tube and fluid to stabilize – several days.
- Investigate the initial temperature
- Put a heat flux on the borehole:
  - Usually in-line electrical heating elements
  - Heat pump (heating and cooling)
  - Gas fired heater
- Temperature response can be used to estimate ground thermal conductivity.
- Other information – initial ground temperatures, borehole resistance and indications of groundwater flow. Drilling conditions?
WHAT TO MEASURE

• Initial temperatures – probe or initial fluid temperatures
• Flow and return temperatures
• Flow rate
• Power input – continuous monitoring:
  – Electrical
  – Calculated later from flow rate and temperature differences
• How Long? The usual recommendation is minimum of 50 hours. The longer the better.
• Ambient temperature
• Automatic logging – often minutely
• Proper calibration is important
IN SITU MEASUREMENT

Symbols

- Needle valve
- Circulating pump
- Three-way valve
- Tee with electric resistance element
- Flow meter
- Thermistor

Diagram:

- From borehole to purge tank
- To purge tank from borehole
- From purge tank to borehole
- To borehole from purge tank
- Flow meter
- Thermistor
- Three-way valve
- Circulating pump
- Needle valve
The key data needed for analysis is the average fluid temperature and power input.

**Typical Responses**

---

**Test temperature data**

- **High conductivity**
- **Low conductivity**

Data source: IGSPHA

---

**Cooling test**

Data source: Groenholland

---
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Research Equipment

Electric heaters (3 x 3kW)  Flow meter  Flow/return temp. sensors

Pumps and purge valves

Photos: J.D. Spitler
COMPACT SITE EQUIPMENT

Generator not shown!
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ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

• Line source analytical solution:
  – Simple, conductivity is proportional to slope of temperature rise vs ln(t)
  – Requires very constant power input

• Parameter estimation-based procedures
  – Requires numerical model; more complex
  – Can handle varying power.
THE LINE SOURCE APPROXIMATION

\[ T_f = \frac{Q}{4\pi kD} \ln(t) + \left( \frac{Q}{D} \left( \frac{1}{4\pi k} \ln\left(\frac{4\alpha}{r_b^2}\right) - \gamma \right) - R_b \right) + T_g \]

Time varying

constant

\[ T_f = s \times \ln(t) + C \]

Where \( s \) is the slope of the temperature vs natural log time plot

\[ k = \frac{Q}{4\pi s D} \]

\( T_f \) = Circulating fluid mean temperature
\( Q \) = Power supplied to circulating fluid (W)
\( k \) = Thermal conductivity
\( t \) = Time
\( \gamma \) = Euler’s constant (0.5772)

\( r_b \) = Borehole radius
\( R_b \) = Thermal resistance (K/W/m)
\( T_g \) = Undisturbed temperature of the ground
\( D \) = Effective borehole depth
\( \alpha \) = Thermal diffusivity (m²/s)
SPREADSHEET ANALYSIS

1. Plot temperature vs natural log of time
2. Find the slope – ignoring some early data
3. Use the slope to derive the effective conductivity
The inverse heat transfer problem is solved using a series of numerical simulations of the test conditions:
- measured power is input
- fluid temperatures are calculated

An automated parameter estimation algorithm is used to find the model conductivity values so that the model temperatures best match the test data.

Variables estimated can be density and specific heat but usually soil and grout thermal conductivity.
THE NUMERICAL MODEL

- A two-dimensional model based on the Finite Volume Method
- The borehole geometry is represented in a boundary fitted mesh
- The pipe, grout and ground materials are explicitly represented in different zones of the mesh
- A transient calculation is made using the test heat flux as a boundary condition
- Ground, grout and pipe temperatures are calculated to mimic the test
- Average fluid temperatures are derived from the calculated pipe temperatures

![2D boundary fitted mesh of the (half) borehole](image)

Simulated TRT temperatures

Time = 48 hours

Temperature (F)
70.6  82.2  93.9  105.6  117.3
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A SIMULATED THERMAL RESPONSE TEST
The parameter estimation algorithm automatically seeks to reduce these differences.

Parameter Estimates

- Temperature (°C)
- Hours

- Yellow: Exp
- Red: Step 5
- Green: Step 8
- Blue: Final Match

The parameter estimation algorithm automatically seeks to reduce these differences.
The parameter estimation algorithm works from an initial guess and then:

1. Runs the numerical model with the chosen conductivities
2. Calculates the differences between the numerical results and the test temperatures
3. Checks if the difference is getting bigger or smaller
4. Selects revised values of conductivity
5. Tries again until the difference is small enough
VALIDATION TEST RESULTS

Temperature response matches data very well.

Estimated conductivities better than +/-10% compared to probe tests or core data.
ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS

• The line-source approach:
  – Analysis is simple – can be done with a spreadsheet
  – Constant power is required – this means using a large oversized generator

• The parametric analysis approach:
  – Can deal with varying power inputs – hence generator can be small
  – Can estimate more than one parameter – both ground conductivity and borehole resistance (grout effective conductivity)
  – Specialist software is required
SUMMARY

• Research equipment developed in the 1990s has evolved into compact commercial equipment

• Research has shown:
  – Line source analysis will work if power source is very constant.
  – Parametric analysis has advantages – both in analysis and in eliminating large generators on site.
  – At least 50 hours are needed for good results
  – Estimates of +/- 10% are possible with careful testing and analysis
  – Groundwater flow is difficult to account for

• TRT provides risk reduction and more accurate costing

• Internationally recognized good practice – standards are on the way
USEFUL INFORMATION

• The IEA Annex 21 web site:
  http://thermalrespondetest.org

• The Oklahoma State University web site – many useful papers and thesis available for download
  http://www.hvac.okstate.edu
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